

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/790,663	Applicant(s) GRYKO ET AL.
	Examiner BEN C. WANG	Art Unit 2192

All Participants:

(1) BEN C. WANG.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Peter Trahms-Neudorfer (Reg. No. 59,282).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, and 15 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, secondary paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Trahms-Neudorfer authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.